OTP E 12005 OCT 14 2005 Dev Co

\*Total of .

forms are submitted.

Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)

Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
to a collection of information unless it disclayers and the control of the control o

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

| PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW   |                      | / Docket Number (Optional) |                            |  |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
|   |                      | 920476-904846              |                            |  |
|   |                      | 720470-90                  | 4046                       |  |
| I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the<br>United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail   | Application N        | umber                      | Filed                      |  |
| in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for<br>Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]   | 09/707,015           |                            | 11/06/2000                 |  |
| on October 2005   | First Named Inventor |                            |                            |  |
| Signature   | Arik Elberse         |                            |                            |  |
|   | Art Unit             | Ex                         | aminer                     |  |
| Typed or printed name Minnie Wilson   | 2143                 | A                          | rrienne M. Lezal           |  |
| Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.  |                      |                            |                            |  |
| This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.  |                      |                            |                            |  |
| The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).  Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.  |                      |                            |                            |  |
| applicant/inventor.  assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)   | Will                 | iam M. Lee                 | inature , Jr. printed name |  |
| x attorney or agent of record. Registration number 26,935   | 312                  | -214-4800<br>Telepho       | one number                 |  |
| attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.   | Octo                 | ober 7, 20                 | 0.5                        |  |
| Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34   | -                    |                            | Date                       |  |
| NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.  Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*. |                      |                            |                            |  |

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

920476-904846



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| RE THE APPLICATION OF   | )<br>) Examiner: Arrienne M. Lezak   |  |
|---|--|--|
| Arik Elberse  |  |  |
| SERIAL NO. 09/707,015   | ) Group Art Unit No. 2143<br>)   |  |
| FILED: November 6, 2000   | ) Customer No. 23644   |  |
| FOR: Method of Using a Web-Browser to Pass Information from a First Web-Entity to One of a Plurality of Second Web-Entities | I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in at envelope addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Bos 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450," on October 122005. Name of person signing Minnie Wilson.  Signature 122005. |  |

## $\frac{\textbf{SUCCINCT STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR}{\textbf{REVIEW}}$

Honorable Director of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

As required under the Pilot Program initiated July 12, 2005, following is the Applicants' statement in support of the Appeal Brief Conference for this application:

The rejections of the claims of the present application under both 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and 103(a) are predicated on the primary reference Kirsch (US6466966).

Therefore, the following submission addresses an error in the Examiner's application of the content of this reference to the claims of the present application which renders such rejections unsustainable.

The present invention concerns how to pass information from one of a plurality of first web entities to a second web entity where the one of the plurality of first web entities has no information, e.g. an address, of the second web entity. This problem is addressed by means of a client web browser that does have the necessary information (i.e. the address) about the second web entity. The method comprises the one of the plurality of first web entities sending a pre-specified address of a redirection server together with other information intended for the second web entity to the client web browser. The client web browser sends the address of the second web entity to the redirection server whereby the redirection server redirects the client web browser to the second web entity. Then, the client web browser passes to the second web entity the other information previously provided to the client web browser by the one of the plurality of first web entities.

It can be seen therefore that the one of the plurality of first web browsers has no, and never needs to have any, address information for the second web browser in order for it to pass information to the second web entity. This is advantageous in that the first web entities are not burdened with keeping tables or databases comprising address information for all second web entities.

In claim 1 of Kirsch (US6466966), the method includes the step of "providing to a client system a predetermined URL referencing a first server system, said predetermined URL being encoded with predetermined redirection and accounting data including a reference to a second server system". Comparing this to the method of claim 1 of the present application reveals that this step comprises providing to a client system (client web browser) a predetermined URL referencing a first server system (one of a plurality of first web entities), said predetermined URL being encoded with predetermined redirection and accounting data including a reference to a second server system (second web entity). The predetermined URL provided to the client system is provided by the first server system (one of a plurality of first web entities). In order for the first server system to be able to include in the

predetermined URL a reference to a second server system (second web entity), the first server system must have knowledge of the second server system prior to the client system making a request for something, i.e. the predetermined URL. Thus, Kirsch teaches against a primary facet of the present invention that the specified address of a redirection server together with other information that is provided to the client web browser by said one of a plurality of first web entities does not embed therein the URL of the second web entity.

It is disclosed in Kirsch, column 6, lines 29 to 44 that "A typical environment 10 utilizing the Internet for network services is shown in FIG. 1. Client computer system 12 is coupled directly or through an Internet service provider (ISP) to the Internet 14. By logical reference via a uniform resource locator, a corresponding Internet server system 16, 18 may be accessed. A generally closed hypertext transfer protocol transaction is conducted between a client browser application executing on the client system 12 and an HTTPd server application executing on the server system 16. In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the server system 16 represents an Internet Business Service (IBS) that supports or serves web pages that embed hyper-link references to other HTTPd server systems coupled to the Internet 14 and that are at least logically external to the server system 16." Thus, it can be seen that server system 16 (one of a plurality of first web entities) serves web pages to a client browser that embed hyper-link references to other HTTPd server (second web entities. Consequently, the first server system 16 must have knowledge of the second server systems in order to be able to provide the client web browser with web pages embedding hyper-link references to said second server systems (second web entities). While this part of the description of Kirsch is said to relate to an exemplary embodiment, it is illustrative of the whole content of the disclosure and reinforces the point made above that Kirsch teaches against a primary facet of the present invention that the specified address of a redirection server together with other information that is provided to the client web browser by said one of a plurality of first web entities does not embed therein the URL of the second web entity.

Given the above, it is submitted that the Examiner's rejections of the application are untenable as has been consistently argued by the Applicants, and were this application to proceed to the Board of Appeals and Interferences, the Examiner would clearly be reversed. The results of this review are therefore awaited.

October 7, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

William M. Lee, Jr.

Registration No. 26,935

Barnes & Thornburg

P.O. Box 2786

Chicago, Illinois 60690-2786

(312) 214-4800

(312) 759-5646 (fax)